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Mr. Brown, my understanding from the footnote in the filing you submitted to the Board is basically MADEP supports the action the Region is proposing to take; is that correct?

MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.

JUDGE REICH: Okay. Well, I have a couple of questions for you as well. My understanding of Mirant Kendall's position is that, for the reasons detailed in its motions of July 31, Mirant Kendall supports the Region's motion to the extent that it contemplates a withdrawal of the provisions informed for the Phase II rule, but requests that the entire permit be remanded to the Region for the Region to withdraw the permit in its entirety and develop a new complete permit.

Mirant Kendall opposes the Region's request for a Board order addressing the permissible scope of public participation in the development of a revised permit or permit

## terms.

Is that a correct statement, Mr.
Childs?
MR. CHILDS: Generally correct, Your Honor, yes.

JUDGE REICH: How would you make it more than generally correct?

MR. CHILDS: I think the focus of our request that the Board send the permit back is on the interrelationship between some of the provisions that the Region wants to withdraw and some of the other provisions that ought to be considered concurrently with the ones that it does want to withdraw.

JUDGE REICH: Okay. I think we will probably get into that in just a little bit.

Finally, my understanding from
CLF's motion of August 1 is that while CLF is agreeable to the requested stay for provisions relating to the Phase II rule, I think that assumes the Region moves
expeditiously to proceed to develop new permit terms related to CWIS, that it opposes any stay for any aspect of the petitions that relate to permit provisions not being withdrawn and seeks instead to have the Board order the Region to file a response and have the Board then proceed to issue a decision on the merits as to those aspects of the petition.

I also understand CLF, similar to Mirant Kendall, to be opposing the Region's request as it relates to Board issuance of an order dealing with scope of public participation in any further proceedings after withdrawal.

Is that correct, Mr. Shelley?
MR. SHELLEY: Your Honor, with respect to the first part, that's correct. With respect to the public comment, we are actually in line with the EPA's thinking, that the record on those issues is closed and should be closed to new additions or public
comment or anything else related to the non-withdrawn portions which are closed. We are just objecting to their order at this point --

JUDGE REICH: Right.
MR. SHELLEY: -- anticipating a problem that hasn't happened yet. We think both EPA and the Board have adequate powers to deal with that.

JUDGE REICH: Okay. I do think that was kind of my understanding, and I appreciate the clarification between the position on the issue in general and the order, what I was loosely focused on at that point.

From what we have briefly just discussed and my review of the various motions, unless somebody corrects me at this point, I'm going to assume that nobody questions that the Region has the legal authority to withdraw permit terms under 124.19(d) nor opposes the Region's request
for a stay at least as to those provisions; is that correct?

MR. SHELLEY: Correct, Your Honor.
JUDGE REICH: Okay. So that being the case, the immediate focus I think for the Board is clearly on how to address the various motions pending for either a stay or for action relative to the appeal of provisions not being withdrawn.

As I alluded to earlier in that regard, I do have a few questions. Although, this again, as I said, is not a full-blown hearing, but I think I would be helpful to understand some of this.

Mr. Fein, can you explain for me what the status and the timing is of the prospective withdrawal, assuming it is still perspective, of the CWIS-related provisions?

MR. FEN: Yes, Your Honor. On September 19, we held a meeting at which counsel and clients for both Mirant and CLF and CRWA were present as well as a number of
state agency officials, which petitioners presented their views regarding the appropriate 316(b) technologies that should be subject for the modification.

We are now analyzing the
information that they presented. Our timeframe very approximately is a withdrawal draft permit modification. We would probably issue the withdrawal notice shortly before the draft permit is issued.

The reason for that is that we don't know precisely which permit provisions are modified, so we know which technology we are going to propose. That timeframe, moving forward to a public comment period and response to comments, a public hearing, if appropriate, consultation with other agencies, if necessary, should get us to a final permit modification by April.

JUDGE REICH: So you think April
18,2008 , is still a realistic date?
MR. FEIN: Assuming that what we
are doing is a draft permit modification limited to --

JUDGE REICH: Okay. Just one thing, for clarification, you were pretty I think precise in your motions in talking about withdrawing the provisions that were informed by the Phase II rule. I was wondering if there was significance to that? Are there any CWIS provisions that are not being withdrawn?

MR. FEIN: Your Honor, as I said, we haven't yet determined precisely what --

JUDGE REICH: But in terms of, I mean, you did give some indication in one of your filings of what you anticipated withdrawing. I just didn't know whether I should read into that reference to being informed by the Phase II rule some possibility that there were some 316(b)-related provisions that weren't informed by that rule, and therefore would not be withdrawn or whether you were
intending to withdraw anything that was implementing 316(b). Are you saying you haven't yet decided that?

MR. FEIN: The first answer is we haven't formally decided, but I would venture that we will likely be withdrawing the provisions that pertain to $316(\mathrm{~b})$, most or all of those provisions.

It is possible that part of the provisions will be able to stay and would not require modification. But as I said in the reply brief, we withdraw most or all of those identified provisions.

JUDGE REICH: Can I ask, Mr. Brown, relative to Massachusetts, is the permit that you issued -- I mean, was there literally a jointly issued permit or did you issue a parallel permit to what the Region issued or neither?

MR. BROWN: It is a jointly issued permit, Your Honor, that is part and parcel of the federal permit. The federal permit
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can't foreclose the possibility that a permit condition that is not directly related to 316 (b) would require withdrawal and reissuance, but at the moment we are not anticipating that.

JUDGE REICH: Okay. I am relatively new to this case so I'm not, I will admit, as familiar as I ultimately may need to be with the rather extensive petitions for review and supplemental petitions for review.

Are there any real significant 316-related provisions that aren't at the moment effectively stayed by the fact that we have these petitions before us, or were all of the more significant provisions part of one or the other petitions for review?

MR. FEIN: Your Honor, the petitions were quite broad and at the moment the entire permit is stayed.

JUDGE REICH: Have you notified parties that that is the scope of the stay,
that it covers the entire permit?
MR. FEIN: We have not yet sent out a letter under 124.16 that would identify the issues.

JUDGE REICH: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Child, in terms of what you have requested the Board to do, do you think that the Board actually has the authority to compel the Region to withdraw more of the permit terms than the Region voluntarily chooses to withdraw under 124.19, given that we haven't even addressed the merits of the petitions yet?

MR. CHILD: Your Honor, I recognize that the rules do not specifically provide that authority. I think our position would be that as the Board looks at this case and the issues in it, it is within the Board's inherent authority as a review board in control of its docket, trying to bring efficiency to a process that is inherently not efficient, has the authority to determine
whether all in all it is better for the
Region to reopen the whole permit whereas we have argued, and I'd be happy to provide some further detail on this, it is pretty clear that there are substantial linkages between the issues that they want to withdraw and the really critical issues that they don't want to withdraw at this point.

JUDGE REICH: I am wondering, in terms of efficiency for the Board, how we get ourselves smart enough to understand everything that is involved in this permit proceeding and the proceedings, to kind of decide whether that is the case or not without taking up a lot of time to decide that issue that the Region could be sort of proceeding down the road it is proceeding.

I mean, I understand that there can be interrelationships. It is not my first 316 case, and I've seen that before. But I understand the sort of complexity of it.

It would seem to me that what
would, in essence, be second-guessing the region up front would require a comfort level and an understanding of the interrelationships of the terms of the permit that I'm not going to get from a superficial reading of it.

MR. CHILD: Well, we would be happy to provide as much help in that exercise as Your Honor can stand. But I think that, as you indicate from your past experience, it is pretty clear on the face of things that there are those kinds of interrelationships.

Then, when you apply that knowledge to a modicum of information, they are going to be happy to go through the history of this permit proceeding for you for a minute, it's quite clear to us anyway and I think we can satisfy Your Honor that there are many issues that overlap.

We are quite concerned that some of the information that the Agency will have to consider on the $316(\mathrm{~b})$ issues that it is
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foreclose that even for ourselves at this
point -- that is the one circumstance where Agency action on 316 (b) could dramatically adjust what happens on 316 (a).

One of our arguments we're for with 316(a) at this point, however, is based on sort of a reverse connection, which we think is very critical. That is, if we are accurate that Region 1 316(a) variance is not based on the right legal standard and that a stricter thermal regime needed to be protected in the Charles River, then that determination could change maybe not what Region I determines for BTA for $316(b)$, but how the company thinks about the cost/benefit of different technologies that they are going to use to address both problems.

Our biggest concern is that the Board would actually agree with us under 316(a), that the Agency's action did not adequately ensure protection of that balanced indigenous population, require the Agency to
lower the thermal regime and therefore change the whole calculation for the company.

That's why we want to get that done right now, because the record is ready to go on it. I think as the counsel for Region 1 said it is about what is out there in the river much more so than what is happening at the plant and its technology.

JUDGE REICH: If the Region is correct in stating that it really only has a finite amount of resources to devote essentially to this matter and resources devoted to, in essence, litigating the 316(a) aspects of the petitions will be drawn away from working on the revisions for 316 (b) and delay getting that part of it done, would you still prefer to see that happen?

MR. SHELLEY: Your Honor, we acknowledge and recognize, as I think we said in our papers, that the resource limitations are accurate. We have even more extreme resource limitations in our little group, so
we are very sensitive to that issue.
We would rather -- but again, we are looking at overall the most likely way to reduce the overall time of getting this permit reissued they are operating under, a 1988 permit, different operating circumstances, what we would propose would be to allow Region 1 to expand the time for coming back and concluding their 316(b) analysis, give them more months on that end, rather than use that reason to justify not moving forward here.

The second point, and again I have to say even after 30 years of experience this is my first EAP appearance, so I'm a neophyte here. But the workload that is required to respond to the petitions, hold the record together, or perhaps do any briefing that the Board might allow is quite manageable and falls mostly on the legal department.

So the engineering staff, although they are going to be involved in that, are
free to continue to work on some of the BTA issues. We think it needs to be done, otherwise this process is actually likely to take longer rather than shorter.

The other point I would like to agree to in advance, the other potential delay or staff resource issue that we could possibly foresee would be in the circumstance that the petition was accepted, reviewed, and the Board agreed with either Mirant or CLF and CRWA's position.

We would certainly agree ahead of time that any judicial review of a Board order that adversely affected us, we would agree to an order staying our 30-day appeal rights until the full permit was available for judicial review.

JUDGE REICH: Okay.
MR. SHELLEY: So we think that part of it actually can be managed in a way that will minimize that part of the case, which could be a big time saver.

merits to all of those, I'm not saying that's what we would do, but we would certainly have to consider a two-part response.

One is procedural and the other in the alternative on the substance so as not to get a remand if we err in that. With the order, it would save us the second step.

JUDGE REICH: Okay. Do either of the petitioners having heard that have a different view about whether having an order like that could help expedite the process?

MR. SHELLEY: We think the rule speaks for itself.

JUDGE REICH: Okay.
MR. CHILDS: Your Honor, our position would be the same as CLF"s, I think the rule speaks for itself. It will sort out in the later process.

JUDGE REICH: Okay. Thank you. Let me ask whether any of the persons here, and that includes Mr. Brown up in the Region, has anything else that they want to bring to
the Board's attention that we haven't already covered. Obviously, you can assume we have read what you've filed with us, but is there something else that we need to be discussing that we haven't already discussed?

MR. CHILDS: Your Honor, I would like to just elaborate on the issue of the interrelationship between 316(a) and 316(b) in this case.

As Mr. Fein mentioned, there was a meeting just last week that was, from our perspective, a very preliminary meeting where the region asked us on short notice to come in and provide information on a very long list of very complicated topics having to do essentially with the intake structure and the benefits of an intake structure at various potential locations.

We reviewed very quickly probably about half a dozen different technological answers. None of those had the benefit of any real engineering analysis.

The one thing that did become clear through that discussion is that there are a lot of interrelationships between whatever the region decides on the intake and the 316(a) issues, and let me just identify two or three key ones.

One, as Mr. Shelley mentioned, it is clear to us that the Region is considering again whether or not cooling towers are the answer or a part of the answer. Indeed, I have with me the agenda that the Region distributed at the meeting last week. The very first item is: "EPA is particularly interested in site-specific feasibility of the following technologies," and lists cooling towers.

We spent a goodly portion of the meeting last Wednesday talking about that, and we're preparing a submission for next week at the Region's request that will address cooling towers and feasibility issues around them.

Another technology that was discussed at that meeting was called "variable-speed drives," which allow a plant like this to reduce the amount of flow through with effects both on the amount of intake and the 316 (b) issues, but also of course would have a variety of impacts on the nature of the plant's discharge.

Third, and then I'll stop, both the Region and CLF, as shown in their comments,
have been very interested in having the cooling water intake structure located not at the plant's current intake, which is on this canal just off the Charles River, but rather out at the head of the canal in the Charles River itself.

Were there structures of the sort that they are considering out there, that would have major impacts on the water out in the river. Entrainable and impingeable organisms that might be excluded by such a device would be excluded straight into the
location of the plants outfall, in other words, right into the hottest part of the river.

All of those considerations as they arise under the $316(\mathrm{~b})$ set of issues are directly going to implicate all of the 316(a) issues in terms of everything that the permits require out in the river in terms of all the buoys, and there is the issue around whether or not there will be a diffuser. It all gets thrown together.

JUDGE REICH: Okay.
MR. CHILD: We are quite confident
that we will be commenting into the Region's proposed permit on the $316(\mathrm{~b})$ issues with quite a bit of information as to how it affects the 316(a) issue.

Thank you.
JUDGE REICH: Okay. I have heard as a minimum the Region to be open to considering the interrelationships as the proceeding evolves.

MR. FEIN: Yes, Your Honor. It is quite conceivable that there could be a few provisions that would be indirectly affected. If I may briefly respond to what was just said, I would just note that the September 19 meeting, there is nothing about it that is in the record before the Board.

JUDGE REICH: No, I understand that. I take that as nothing more -- as further illustration because there were illustrations in what was filed with the Board that there are interrelationships and potential interrelationships. Don't take it as anything broader than that.

MR. FEIN: And so Mirant stated at the meeting, the EPA did not make any statements agreeing to any of those statement.

JUDGE REICH: Right.
MR. FEIN: I would just add that many of the issues that Mr. Child has raised, with respect to the interrelationships, are
things that are best decided by the Region in the first instance. As Your Honor alluded to, to resolve the question of what and how much is interrelated now would require delving fairly deeply into the merits before the Region has even had a chance to come up with the draft permit modification let alone to deal with respect to the non-316(b) provisions.

JUDGE REICH: Okay. I understand. Yes, sir?

MR. SHELLEY: Your Honor, could I just add one final comment, briefly, and that is from our perspective and I think it is certainly shared by EPA, that time is of the essence here.

This plant has repowered recently, operating under a 1988 permit that was issued in a whole different operating regime facility. There are environmental problems in the river that we believe this plant is associated with.

| on this matter. <br> Thank you. <br> (Whereupon, at 11:15 a.m., the HEARING was adjourned.) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
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| decide 24:14 | $34: 238: 10$ | Edward 1:13 | 39:16 | feasibility |
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| 33:7 35:2 | 42:12,19 | leave 5:6 | 26:3 34:16 | minute 4:15 |
| 39:7 42:9 | 43:8,19 | left 5:9 | 40:3 | 25:16 |
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| 20:14 23:4 |  | 29:17 | 33:20 | Mirant's |
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| morning 4:9 | non-with | 37:15 | parcel 17:21 | 12:2,4 |
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| moving 15:14 | 2:20 | 12:11 | 7:1 | 22:20 23:1 |
| 32:12 45:2 |  | opposition | partici | 23:10 24:2 |
|  | 0 | 36:9 | partici | 24:12 25:4 |
| $N$ | 04:1 | oral 7:6 | 12:14 | 25:16 29:8 |
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| 23:9,10 | 20:18 22:4 | required | right 13:5 | Section $9: 8$ |
| 24:2,16 | reissue | 32:16 | 30:10 31:4 | see 7:7 20:4 |
| 25:2 26:5 | 19:10 | 37:19 | 42:2 43:19 | 27:13,14 |
| 26:14,22 | reissued | requirem. | rights 18:2 | 29:12 |
| 27:9,20 | 32:5 | 20:16 | 33:16 | 31:17 |
| 28:7 29:15 | relate 12:4 | requires | rise 4:2 | 37:16 |
| 30:9,14 | related 7:14 | 21:15 | risk $37: 19$ | seeks $12: 5$ |
| 31:5,9 | 12:2 13:1 | 36:18 | river 2:11 | seen 24:20 |
| 32:8 36:9 | 22:2 26:9 | resolve 44:3 | 4:20 6:11 | send 11:9 |
| 38:21 | 34:7 | resolved | 26:1 30:12 | 35:1 |
| 39:13 40:4 | relates | 45:8 | 31:7 41:14 | sense 28:7 |
| 40:8,11 | 12:12 | resource | 41:16, 20 | sensitive |
| 41:10 | relating | 31:20,22 | 42:3,8 | 32:1 |
| 42:20 44:1 | 11:21 18:5 | 33:7 | 44:21 | sent 23:2 |
| 44:6 | 20:5 | resources | road 24:17 | 35:3 |
| region's | relative | 31:11, 12 | Robert 2:3 | September |
| 9:16 10:12 | 14:8 17:15 | respect 9:6 | 3:3 6:19 | 1:8 5:6 |
| 10:19 | 18:16,17 | 12:18,19 | 7:2 | 14:20 43:5 |
| 12:11 | relatively | 36:2 43:22 | Ronald $2: 7$ | session 4:4 |
| 13:22 | 22:7 27:16 | 44:8 | 6:14 | set $26: 8$ |
| 40:20 | remain 18:19 | respond | route 27 : 6 | 29:19 42:5 |
| 42:14 | remand 36:17 | 32:17 | rule 8:14 | shared 44:15 |
| Regulatory | 38:6 | 37:22 43:4 | 10:14 | Shawn 3:9 |
| 6:5 | remanded | Respondent | 11:21 16:7 | 6:4 |
| Reich 1:13 | 10:15 | 2:15 | 16:18,21 | Shelley 2 : 12 |
| 4:7,9 5:20 | renewed | response | 19:16 | 6:9.10 |
| 6:7,12,22 | 20:19 | 12:6 15:16 | 38:12,17 | 12:16,17 |
| 7:4 9:22 | reopen 24:2 | 21:6 27:22 | rules 23:15 | 13:6 14:3 |
| 10:7 11:6 | 26:7 35:15 | 28:6 37:15 |  | 27:19 28:9 |
| 11:15 13:5 | reply 17:12 | 38:3 | S | 29:10 |
| 13:10 14:4 | repowered | rest 20:13 | S 4:1 | 31:18 |
| 15:20 16:3 | 44:17 | restricted | safe 26:20 | 33:19 |
| 16:13 | represen... | 45:3 | satisfy | 38:12 40:7 |
| 17:14 18:4 | 5:3 | result 19:9 | 25:18 | 44:12 |
| 18:15 | reproposed | 27:18 | save 38:7 | short 39:13 |
| 19:13,21 | 26:17 | reverse 30:7 | saver 33:22 | shortcut |
| 20:2, 21 | request | review 9:12 | saying 17:2 | 27:8 |
| 22:6,21 | 10:20 11:9 | 13:17 19:9 | 21:6 38:1 | shorter 33:4 |
| 23:5 24:9 | 12:12 | 22:10,11 | scheduling | shortly 15:9 |


| shown 41: 10 | 18:16,20 | subject 15:4 | talk 21:2 | 14:5,13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| signal $35: 1$ | 19:1,3,12 | 20:19 | talking 16:5 | 15:20 16:5 |
| 35:3 | 19:13,15 | 35:12 | 28:17 | 21:5 23:7 |
| signific... | stated 43:15 | 36:22 | 37:11 | 23:16 25:9 |
| 16:8 | statement | submission | 40:18 | 25:17 |
| significant | 11:2 43:18 | 40:19 | tandem 21:7 | 26:11,15 |
| 22:12,16 | statements | submitted | technolo | 27:2,5,7 |
| similar | 43:17 | 10:2 | 39:20 | 27:11,12 |
| 12:10 | states 1:1 | substance | technolo. | 28:5,10,18 |
| simply 36:12 | 4:3 6:15 | 38:5 | 15:3 30:16 | 29:10,20 |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i r }} 44$ :11 | 36:17 | substantial | 40:15 | 30:7 31:5 |
| site-spe. | state's | 24:5 | technology | 31:19 33:2 |
| 40:14 | 18:13,15 | Such-and. | 15:13 31:8 | 33:19 |
| Sitting 6:18 | stating 9:17 | 37:17 | 41:1 | 34:13 35:2 |
| sixth 36:17 | 31:10 | sufficie | temperat | 38:12,16 |
| slightly | Station 1:4 | 20:6 | 21:19 | 44:14 |
| 27:2,3 | 2:15 | suggesting | term 8:3 | $45: 10,15$ |
| smart 24:11 | status 4:5 | 29:5 | terms 11:1 | 45:21 |
| somebody | 4:13 7:5 | Suite 2:8 | 12:2 13:21 | thinking |
| 13:18 | $7: 12 \quad 14: 16$ | summarize | 16:13 | 12:20 |
| somewhat 7:7 | 45:17 | 8:1 | 18:12 21:9 | thinks 30:15 |
| 28:4 | stay 7:14 | summary 9:21 | 23:6,10 | Third 3:5 |
| soon 7:20 | 8:9 11:20 | Summer 2:13 | 24:10 25:4 | 41:9 |
| sort $24: 16$ | 12:3 14:1 | superficial | 27:20 28:7 | thought 37:7 |
| 24:21 30:7 | 14:7 17:10 | 25:5 | 34:6 37:12 | three 7:13 |
| 38:17 | 19:17,22 | suppleme. | 42:7,8 | 40:6 |
| 41:17 | 22:22 | 22:10 | 45:2 | thrown 42:11 |
| sorting 20:3 | stayed 19:14 | 35:17 | thank 4:10 | Thursday 1:8 |
| sound 28:2 | 19:16,20 | supplements | 6:7,12 7:4 | time 8:22 |
| speak $36: 14$ | 22:14, 20 | 9:13 | 9:22 19:21 | 24:15 32:4 |
| speaks 34:14 | staying | support 29:7 | 23:5 34:1 | 32:8 33:13 |
| 38:13,17 | 33:15 | supports | $35: 8 \quad 38: 19$ | $33: 22$ 35:6 |
| specific... | step 38:7 | 10:3,11 | 42:18 45:9 | 44:15 |
| 18:1 23:15 | stop 41 : 9 | sure 7:16 | 45:14,16 | timeframe |
| spent 40:17 | straight | 34:20 | 45:18 46:2 | 15:7,14 |
| Stachowiak | 41:22 | 36:15 | theme 27:13 | timing 14:16 |
| 2:3 6:19 | Street 2:8 | suspect 35:2 | thereto 9:14 | today 4:11 |
| staff 32:21 | 2:13,20 | suspended | thermal 20:5 | topics 39:15 |
| 33:7 | 3:5 | 8:14 | 21:18 26:8 | towers 40:9 |
| stand 21:22 | stricter | suspension | 29:18 | 40:16,21 |
| 25:9 45:22 | 30:11 | 21:20 | 30:11 31:1 | transcript |
| standard | stringent | system 29:16 | 45:6 | 7:8 |
| 30:10 45:6 | 19:8,8 |  | thing 16:4 | trouble |
| standards | structure | - T | 40:1 | 34:10 |
| 20:6 | 18:10 19:5 | table 6:17 | things $25: 11$ | truckload |
| standpoint | 20:16 | take 8:19 | 34:21 44:1 | 37:2 |
| 18:18 | 39:16,17 | 10:4 20:12 | think 7:6 | true 34:12 |
| Starting 8:7 | 41:12 | 33:4 37:19 | 8:5 11:8 | try 27:7 |
| state 15:1 | structures | 43:9,13 | 11:15,22 | trying 23:20 |
| 18:1,5,12 | 41:17 | taken 28:14 | 13:7,10 | 29:22 |
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| two 4:18 | W | 26:14 | 5:13 6:16 | 21:10, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:18 40:5 | want 7:16 | 27:21 | 6:21 11:19 | 28:13,15 |
| two-part | 11:14 24:6 | withdrawal | 29:15 30:9 | 29:1,14 |
| 38:3 | 24:7 26:7 | 8:21 10:13 | 30:14 31:5 | 30:4,6,9 |
|  | 26:10 31:3 | 12:15 | 32:8 | 30:20 |
| U | 38:22 | 14:17 15:7 | 10:301:11 | 31:13 39:8 |
| ultimately | wanting 35:1 | 15:9 20:7 | 11:1546:3 | 40:5 42: 6 |
| 22:8 | wants 11:11 | 20:18 | $11002: 8$ | 42:17 |
| understand | wants 11.11 26:5 | 21:16 22:3 | 12002 : 4 | 316 (b) 15:3 |
| 7:18 8:8 | Washington | withdrawing | 12011:6 | 17:2,7 |
| 8:18 12:10 | 1:7 2:5,21 | 16:6,16 | 12434 : 13,17 | 20:7,15 |
| 14:14 | 6:1 | 17:6 35:14 | 34:19 | 21:4 22:3 |
| 24:11,18 | water 18:10 | withdrawn | 36:14 | 25:22 26:3 |
| 24:21 43:8 | 18:14 19:4 | 8:17 9:8 | 124.1623:3 | 28:13,14 |
| 44:10 | 19:10,18 | 12:5 14:9 | 124.1923:11 | 29:4 30:3 |
| 45:11 | 20:15 29:3 | 16:10,22 | 37:20 | $30: 14$ |
| understa | 41:12,19 | 26:15 36:3 | 124.19 (d) | $31: 15$ 32:9 |
| 4:16 8:2,6 | Watershed | 36:4,7 | 8:12 9:8 | 34:7,8 |
| 10:1,9 | 2:11 4:21 | withdraws | 13:22 | 35:15 39:8 |
| 11:18 | 6:11 | 18:18 | 36:18 | 41:6 42:5 |
| 13:11 25:3 | way $8: 8$ | wondering | 175:6 | 42:15 |
| 35:6 37:8 | $27: 17,18$ | 16:8 24:9 | 188:10 | 316 (b)-r |
| 45:22 | 28:3 29:5 | words $42: 2$ | 15:21 | 16:20 |
| United 1:1 | $\begin{array}{ll}32: 3 & 33: 20\end{array}$ | work $33: 1$ | 1914 : 20 | 316-related |
| 4:3 6:15 | 37:4 | 45:5 | 43:5 | 22:13 |
| urge 45:4 | Webster | working | 19002 :20 | 350-0990 |
| use 30:17 | 6:20 | 31:15 | 198832 : 6 | 2:14 |
| 32:11 | Wednesday | workload | 44:18 |  |
| U.S 5:1 | Wednesday $40: 18$ | $\begin{gathered} 32: 16 \\ \text { world } 34: 4 \end{gathered}$ | $2$ | 4 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { value } 35: 6 \\ 36: 11 \end{gathered}$ | 40:12,20 |  | 20006-1109 |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 16: 20 \\ \text { we're } 30: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{x} 1: 2,5$ | $20071: 8$ | 542-600 |
| variable... |  |  | 8:9 | 2:18 |
| 41:3 |  | Y | 20088:11 | 564-0580 2:5 |
| variance | 37:18$40: 19$ | year 4:12 | 15:21 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29: 1 \quad 30: 9 \\ & \text { variety } 41: 7 \\ & \text { various } \end{aligned}$ |  | years 32:14 | 202 2:5,21 | 6 |
|  | Williams $2: 20 \quad 6: 1$ |  | 204602:5 | 6172:9,14 |
|  | Winter 3:5 | 0 | 214:12 | 2:18 3:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { various } \\ & 13: 17 \quad 14: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  | 021083:5 | 258:9 | 62 2:13 |
| $39: 17$ | wish 35:20 | 021102:13 | 271:8 |  |
| venture 17:5 | withdraw | 021112 :18 | 292-5926 3:6 |  |
| video 5:2 <br> view $36: 15$ | 8:11 10:16 | 02114 2:9 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 918-10402: 9 \\ & 955-1500 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 10 \\ & \text { views } 15: 2 \\ & \text { volume } 29: 2 \\ & \text { voluntarily } \\ & 23: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 11:12,14 | 4:6 | $321: 1$ |  |
|  | 13:21 17:1 | 06-13 1: 4 | 3032:14 |  |
|  | $18: 20 \quad 20: 8$ | 4:7 | 30-day 33:15 |  |
|  | $21: 10 \quad 23: 9$ |  | 3110 :11 |  |
|  | $23: 11 \quad 24: 6$ | $\frac{1}{12: 6,8,8}$ | $31624: 20$ |  |
|  | $24: 8 \quad 26: 6$ | 12:6,8,8 | 316(a) 21:3 |  |

